Having served on a wide variety of professional committees—including the advisory committee for the District of Maine that was assembled pursuant to the Civil Justice Reform Act—George's impeccable credentials and reputation for impartiality led to his appointment in 1993 to the Governor's Judicial Selection Committee by my husband, Governor McKernan.

That appointment, and the fact that he now chairs this prestigious committee that assists in the appointment of judges across the state under Independent Governor Angus King, is why it's a special pleasure for me to speak on his behalf today.

Of note, the enthusiastic support George has received from both sides of the aisle in Maine speaks volumes about Mr. Singal's talents and work ethic, as well as the universal respect he has earned over his years of work in the Maine judicial system.

Throughout his career, Mr. Singal displayed remarkable legal acumen, thanks in large part to his thorough, reflective and balanced approach to his work. This approach has justifiably earned him accolades throughout his career, including his selection to the American College of Trial Lawyers—an award given to less than one percent of trial lawyers nationwide—and his naming to the Best Lawyers in America, a designation that is made by his colleagues in the legal profession.

Mr. Singal possesses precisely the kind of judicial temperament and experience I think we should expect from all our judicial nominees. I am certain this is due, in no small part, to his family's background and the perseverance and work ethic they instilled in him as an immigrant brought to the United States by the ravages of World War II.

Further, his work during the late-1960s in the office of then-Congressman Bill Hathaway undoubtedly impressed upon him the need for balance between the three branches of government. In fact, it is his broad range of experiences that has undoubtedly instilled in Mr. Singal a proper perspective on the appropriate role and appropriate constitutional limitations of each branch of our government.

Clearly, George Singal has not only the professional qualifications to serve us well on the federal circuit, but also the personal credentials to match.

My work with George over the past few weeks has only confirmed what I had already heard—this is a man of the highest integrity and personal character.

In conclusion, I am most proud to be able to express my support for Mr. George Singal. He has the qualifications, the intellect, the experience, the perspective, and the integrity to be an outstanding judge. Accordingly, I am pleased that my colleagues support his confirmation to the U.S. District Court for the District of Maine.

#### LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now return to legislative session.

### MEASURE INDEFINITELY POSTPONED—S. 2553

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that S. 2553 be indefinitely postponed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## ORDER FOR COMMITTEES TO FILE LEGISLATIVE MATTERS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding the adjournment of the Senate, committees have from 11 a.m. until 1 p.m. on Wednesday, July 5, in order to file legislative matters.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

# EXPRESSING SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING VALUE OF EDUCATION IN U.S. HISTORY

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Con. Res. 129, submitted earlier today by Senators LIEBERMAN, SMITH of Oregon, CLELAND, and others.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 129) expressing the sense of Congress regarding the importance and value of education in United States history.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the concurrent resolution and the preamble be agreed to, en bloc, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 129) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The concurrent resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

### S. CON. RES. 129

Whereas basic knowledge of United States history is essential to full and informed participation in civic life and to the larger vibrancy of the American experiment in selfgovernment;

Whereas basic knowledge of the past serves as a civic glue, binding together a diverse people into a single Nation with a common purpose:

Whereas citizens who lack knowledge of United States history will also lack an understanding and appreciation of the democratic principles that define and sustain the Nation as a free people, such as liberty, justice, tolerance, government by the consent of the governed, and equality under the law;

Whereas a recent Roper survey done for the American Council of Trustees and Alumni reveals that the next generation of American leaders and citizens is in danger of losing America's civic memory;

Whereas the Roper survey found that 81 percent of seniors at elite colleges and universities could not answer basic high school level questions concerning United States history, that scarcely more than half knew general information about American democracy and the Constitution, and that only 22 percent could identify the source of the most famous line of the Gettysburg Address;

Whereas many of the Nation's colleges and universities no longer require United States history as a prerequisite to graduation, including 100 percent of the top institutions of higher education;

Whereas 78 percent of the Nation's top colleges and universities no longer require the study of any form of history;

Whereas America's colleges and universities are leading bellwethers of national priorities and values, setting standards for the whole of the United States' education system and sending signals to students, teachers, parents, and public schools about what every educated citizen in a democracy must know:

Whereas many of America's most distinguished historians and intellectuals have expressed alarm about the growing historical illiteracy of college and university graduates and the consequences for the Nation; and

Whereas the distinguished historians and intellectuals fear that without a common civic memory and a common understanding of the remarkable individuals, events, and ideals that have shaped the Nation, people in the United States risk losing much of what it means to be an American, as well as the ability to fulfill the fundamental responsibilities of citizens in a democracy: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that—

- (1) the historical illiteracy of America's college and university graduates is a serious problem that should be addressed by the Nation's higher education community;
- (2) boards of trustees and administrators at institutions of higher education in the United States should review their curricula and add requirements in United States history;
- (3) State officials responsible for higher education should review public college and university curricula in their States and promote requirements in United States history;
- (4) parents should encourage their children to select institutions of higher education with substantial history requirements and students should take courses in United States history whether required or not; and
- (5) history teachers and educators at all levels should redouble their efforts to bolster the knowledge of United States history among students of all ages and to restore the vitality of America's civic memory.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, will the distinguished majority leader yield?

Mr. LOTT. I will be happy to yield.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, parliamentary inquiry. Is my name on the matter that was just acted on?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It is. Mr. BYRD. I thank the Chair.

### ELECTRIC RELIABILITY 2000 ACT

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 642, S. 2071.